arXiv:1411.0651v1 [math.GR] 3 Nov 2014

Semistability and Simple Connectivity at Infinity of Finitely Generated Groups with a Finite Series of Commensurated Subgroups

Michael Mihalik

November 3, 2014

Abstract

A subgroup H of a group G is *commensurated* in G if for each $g \in G$, $gHg^{-1} \cap H$ has finite index in both H and gHg^{-1}. If there is a sequence of subgroups $H = Q_0 \prec Q_1 \prec \cdots \prec Q_k \prec Q_{k+1} = G$ where Q_i is commensurated in Q_{i+1} for all i, then Q_0 is *subcommensurated* in G. In this paper we introduce the notion of the simple connectivity at infinity of a finitely generated group (in analogy with that for finitely presented groups). Our main result is: If a finitely generated group G contains an infinite, finitely generated, subcommensurated subgroup H, of infinite index in G, then G is 1-ended and semistable at ∞. If additionally, H is finitely presented and 1-ended, then G is simply connected at ∞. A normal subgroup of a group is commensurated, so this result is a strict generalization of a number of results, including the main theorems of G. Conner and M. Mihalik [1], B. Jackson [8], V. M. Lew [10], M. Mihalik [11]and [12], and J. Profio [15].

1 Introduction and Background

In 1962, J. Stallings defined what it means for a space to be n-connected at ∞, and proved the following:

Theorem 1.1 *(J. Stallings [17]) If V^n, $n \geq 5$, is a contractible PL n-manifold without boundary, then V is PL-homeomorphic to R^n if and only if V is simply connected at ∞.*

In 1974, R. Lee and F. Raymond first considered the fundamental group of an end of a group. In particular, they considered groups that are simply connected at ∞.

Theorem 1.2 *(R. Lee, F. Raymond [9]) Let G be a finitely presented group with normal subgroup N isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^k$ and quotient $K = G/N$. Assume when $k = 1$ that K is 1-ended and that when $k = 2$ that K is not finite, and no restrictions when $k > 2$. Then G is simply connected at ∞.*

For a reasonable space X (or finitely presented group G), one needs to know that X (respectively G) is semistable at ∞ in order to have the fundamental group of an end of X (respectively G) defined independent of base ray. In 1982, B. Jackson generalized Theorem 1.2 and in 1983, M. Mihalik proved the first semistability at ∞ theorem for a class of finitely presented groups. These two results serve as a starting point for this paper.

Theorem 1.3 *(B. Jackson [8]) If H is an infinite, finitely presented, normal subgroup of infinite index in the finitely presented group G, and either H or G/H is 1-ended. Then G is simply connected at ∞.*

Theorem 1.4 *(M. Mihalik [11]) If H is an infinite, finitely generated, normal subgroup of infinite index in the finitely presented group G, then G is semistable at ∞.*

In 1985, the following connections were drawn between semistability and simple connectivity at ∞, and group cohomology.

Theorem 1.5 *(R. Geoghegan, M. Mihalik [6]) If G is a finitely presented and semistable at ∞ group then $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}G)$ is free abelian. If G is simply connected at ∞ then $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}G) = 0$.*

It is unknown whether or not all finitely presented groups are semistable at ∞. It is also unknown whether or not for all finitely presented groups G, $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}G)$ is free abelian. The main theorem in the unpublished 1993 PhD dissertation of V. Ming Lew generalized Theorem 1.4 and the main theorem of the 1990 PhD dissertation of J. Profio generalized Theorem 1.3:

Theorem 1.6 *(V. M. Lew [10]) Suppose H is an infinite, finitely generated, subnormal subgroup of the finitely generated group G:*

$$H = N_0 \lhd N_1 \lhd N_2 \lhd \ldots \lhd N_k = G, \text{ for } k \geq 1$$

and H has infinite index in G. Then G is 1-ended and semistable at ∞.

Theorem 1.7 *(J. Profio [15]) Suppose $H \lhd N \lhd G$ is a normal series with H and G finitely presented, and H 1-ended and of infinite index in G. Then G is simply connected at ∞.*

Given a subgroup H of a group G, the element $g \in G$ is in the *commensurator* of H in G (denoted $Comm(H, G)$) if $gHg^{-1} \cap H$ has finite index in both H and gHg^{-1}. The subgroup H is *commensurated* in G if $Comm(H, G) = G$, so normal subgroups are commensurated. The main result of [1] generalizes Theorems 1.4 and 1.3 in a direction different than these last two results:

Theorem 1.8 *(G. Conner, M. Mihalik [1]) If a finitely generated group G has an infinite, finitely generated, commensurated subgroup Q, and Q has infinite index in G, then G is 1-ended and semistable at ∞. Furthermore, if G and Q are finitely presented and either Q is 1-ended or the pair (G, Q) has one filtered end, then G is simply connected at ∞.*

Example 1. For p a prime, the group $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$ is finitely presented. When $n > 2$ the only normal subgroups of this group are either finite or of finite index (see [16]). For $n > 2$, the finitely presented 1-ended subgroup, $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is commensurated in $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$ and so by Theorem 1.8, $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$ is 1-ended and simply connected at ∞.

While Lew's theorem improved Theorem 1.4 by replacing normality by subnormality, Profio's result was the best attempt in the last 30 years, to improve the normality hypothesis of Theorem 1.3 to subnormality. As a corollary of our main theorem, we obtain the subnormal version of Jackson's Theorem 1.3. The semistability part of Theorem 1.9 is proved first and then used in an essential way in the proof of the simply connected at ∞ part of Theorem 1.9. A new idea, the simple connectivity at ∞ of a finitely generated group, is introduced and used in a fundamental way to prove the second part of Theorem 1.9. We point out that we cannot prove this part of Theorem 1.9, even in the finitely presented case, without this new concept.

If Q is a commensurated subgroup of G we use the notation $Q \prec G$. The main theorem of this article is the following:

Theorem 1.9 *(Main Theorem) Suppose H is a finitely generated infinite subgroup of infinite index in the finitely generated group G, and H is subcommensurated in G:*

$$H = Q_0 \prec Q_1 \prec \cdots \prec Q_k \prec G$$

Then G is 1-ended and semistable at infinity. If additionally, H is 1-ended and finitely presented then the finitely generated group G is simply connected at ∞.

In the next section we define what it means for a finitely generated group to be simply connected at ∞ (a strict generalization of simple connectivity at ∞ for finitely presented groups).

Example 2. In [14], short exact sequences are produced for each $n > 0$, of the form:

$$1 \to H \to (\mathbb{Z}^n * \mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}^n * \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^n \to 1$$

where H is 1-ended and finitely generated. The group $(\mathbb{Z}^n * \mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}^n * \mathbb{Z})$ is not simply connected at ∞ and the group Z^n is $(n-2)$-connected at ∞. These elementary examples shows that the finitely presented hypothesis on H in Theorems 1.3 and 1.9, cannot be relaxed.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: In §2 the working definitions and notation are established. We introduce our definition of a subgroup being simply connected at infinity inside an overgroup. This definition is then used to define the simple connectivity at ∞ of a finitely generated group. We end §2 with an important technical lemma.

In §3, we prove the semistability part of our main theorem. This is an induction argument that starts with base case given by Theorem 1.8.

In §4, we prove the simply connectivity at ∞ part of our main theorem. This is also an induction argument that starts with the base case given by the simple connectivity part of Theorem 1.8. The semistability result of §3 is used in conjunction with Lemma 2.4 to set up the proof of the simple connectivity part of Theorem 1.9.

2 Definitions and a Technical Lemma

R. Geoghegan's book [5] is a general reference to all that is in this section. A continuous function $f : X \to Y$ is *proper* if for each compact subset C of Y, $f^{-1}(C)$ is compact in X. A proper map $r : [0, \infty) \to X$ is called a *ray* in X. If K is a locally finite, connected CW-complex, then one can define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on the set A of all rays in K by setting $r \sim s$ if and only if for each compact set $C \subset K$, there exists an integer $N(C)$ such

that $r([N(C),\infty))$ and $s([N(C),\infty))$ are contained in the same unbounded path component of $K-C$ (a path component of $K-C$ is *unbounded* if it is not contained in any compact subset of K). An equivalence class of $A/\sim$ is called *an end of* K, the set of equivalence classes of $A/\sim$ is called *the set of ends of* K and two rays in K, in the same equivalence class, are said to *converge to the same end*. The cardinality of $A/\sim$, denoted by $e(K)$, is the *number of ends of* K.

If G is a finitely generated group with generating set $\mathcal{S}$, then the *Cayley graph of* G *with respect to* $\mathcal{S}$, denoted $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}$, has vertex set G and an edge between vertices v and w if $vs=w$ for some $s\in\mathcal{S}$. We define the *number of ends of* G, denoted by $e(G)$, to be the number of ends of the Cayley graph of G with respect to a finite generating set. (In particular, $e(G)=e(\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})})$. This definition is independent of the choice of finite generating set for G. If G is finitely generated, then $e(G)$ is either 0, 1, 2, or is infinite (in which case it has the cardinality of the real numbers). We let $*$ denote the basepoint of $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}$, which corresponds to the identity of G.

If f and g are rays in K, then one says that f and g are *properly homotopic* if there is a proper map $H:[0,1]\times[0,\infty)\to K$ such that $H|_{\{0\}\times[0,\infty)}=f$ and $H|_{\{1\}\times[0,\infty)}=g$. If $f(0)=g(0)=v$ and $H|_{[0,1]\times\{0\}}=v$, one says f and g are *properly homotopic relative to* v (or $rel\{v\}$).

Definition 1. A locally finite, connected CW-complex K is *semistable at* ∞ if any two rays in K converging to the same end are properly homotopic. The space K is *simply connected at* ∞ if for any compact set $C\subset K$ there is a compact $D\subset K$ such that loops in $K-D$ are homotopically trivial in $K-C$.

In a locally finite CW complex, any ray is properly homotopic to an edge path ray. So in order to show semistability in such a complex, it is enough to prove edge path rays converging to the same end are properly homotopic.

Theorem 2.1 of [11], and Lemma 9 of [12], provide several equivalent notions of semistability. The space considered in [11] is simply connected, but simple connectivity is not important in that argument. A slight modification of proofs give the following result. (See [1].)

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose K is a locally finite, connected and 1-ended CW-complex. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *K is semistable at ∞.*

2. *For any ray $r : [0, \infty) \to K$ and compact set C, there is a compact set D such that for any third compact set E and loop α based on r and with image in $K - D$, α is homotopic $rel\{r\}$ to a loop in $K - E$, by a homotopy with image in $K - C$.*

3. *For some (equivalently any) ray r in K and any collection of compact sets C_i such that $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i = K$ and C_{i-1} is a subset of the interior of C_i, the inverse system:*

$$\pi_1(X - C_1, r) \leftarrow \pi_1(X - C_2, r) \leftarrow \dots$$

with bonding maps induced by inclusion along r, is pro-isomorphic to an inverse system of groups with epimorphic bonding maps.

4. *For any compact set C there is a compact set D such that if r and s are rays based at v and with image in $K - D$, then r and s are properly homotopic $rel\{v\}$, by a proper homotopy in $K - C$.*

If K is simply connected (or if a group acting by homeomorphisms on K, acts transitively on the vertices of K) then a fourth equivalent condition can be added to this list:

5. *If r and s are rays based at v, then r and s are properly homotopic $rel\{v\}$.*

If finite connected CW complexes X and Y have isomorphic fundamental groups, then the universal cover of X is semistable (simply connected) at ∞ if and only if the universal cover of Y is semistable (simply connected) at ∞. This result can be seen from the early work of F. E. A. Johnson [3] and [4], or the proof of Theorem 3 of [9]. For a complete argument see the first three sections of Chapter 5 of R. Geoghegan's book [5].

Definition 2. If G is a 1-ended, finitely presented group and, X is some (equivalently any) finite, CW-complex with fundamental group G, then we say G *is semistable at* ∞ if the universal cover of X is semistable at ∞. We say G is *simply connected at* ∞ if the universal cover of X is simply connected at ∞.

The notion of semistabilty for a finitely generated group was first defined in [13]. We give the definition for 1-ended groups since this is the case that concerns us. Suppose G is a 1-ended finitely generated group with generating

set $\mathcal{S} \coloneqq \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n\}$ and let $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}$ be the Cayley graph of G with respect to this generating set. Suppose $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m\}$ is a finite set of relations in G written in the letters $\{g_1^{\pm}, g_2^{\pm}, \ldots, g_n^{\pm}\}$. For any vertex $v \in \Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}$, there is an edge path cycle labeled α_i at v. The 2-dimensional CW-complex $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ is obtained by attaching to each vertex of $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}$, 2-cells corresponding to the relations $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$.

We show in [13], that if $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ are finite generating sets for the group G and there are finitely many $\mathcal{S}$-relations P such that $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(P)$ is semistable at ∞, then there are finitely many $\mathcal{T}$-relations Q such that $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{T})}(Q)$ is semistable at ∞. Hence the following definition:

Definition 3. A finitely generated group G *is semistable at* ∞ if for some (equivalently any) finite generating set $\mathcal{S}$ for G and finite set of $\mathcal{S}$-relations P the complex $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(P)$ is semistable at ∞.

Note that if G has finite presentation $\langle \mathcal{S} : P \rangle$, then G is semistable at ∞ with respect to Definition 2 if and only if G is semistable at ∞ with respect to Definition 3 if and only if $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(P)$ is semistable at ∞.

The following definition defines what it means for a finitely generated subgroup of a finitely presented group to be simply connected at ∞ relatively to the finitely presented over group.

Definition 4. A finitely generated subgroup A of a finitely presented group G is *simply connected at* ∞ *in* G *(or relative to* G*)* if for some (equivalently any by Lemma 2.4 with $N = 0$) finite presentation $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}; R \rangle$ of the group G (where $\mathcal{A}$ generates A and $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ generates G), the 2-complex $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{A}\cup\mathcal{B})}(R)$ has the following property:

Given any compact set $C \subset \Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{A}\cup\mathcal{B})}(R)$ there is a compact set $D \subset \Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{A}\cup\mathcal{B})}(R)$ such that any edge path loop in $\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})} - D$ is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{A}\cup\mathcal{B})}(R) - C$.

In order to define what it means for a finitely generated group G to be simply connected at ∞, we must know that G embeds in some finitely presented group. In 1961,G. Higmann proved:

Theorem 2.2 *(Higmann [7]) A finitely generated infinite group G can be embedded in a finitely presented group if and only if the set of relators of G (as a set of freely reduced words in the generators) is recursive enumerable.*

Definition 5. A finitely generated and recursively presented group A is *simply connected at* ∞ if for any finitely presented group G and subgroup A' isomorphic to A, the subgroup A' is simply connected at ∞ in G.

Suppose that G is a finitely presented group and that G satisfies the simply connected at ∞ condition of Definition 2, then G satisfies Definition 5, and there is no ambiguity. Futhermore, any finitely generated subgroup of G is simply connected at ∞ in G.

We conclude this section with Lemma 2.4, but first some terminology. Suppose $\langle \mathcal{S} : R \rangle$ is a finite presentation for a group G. If A is a subcomplex of $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(R)$, then $St(A)$ is the subcomplex of $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(R)$ whose vertices $V(St(A))$ are the vertices of A along with each vertex of $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(R)$ that is connected to a vertex of A by an edge. The edges $E(St(A))$ of $St(A)$ are all edges of A and all edges of $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(R)$, both of whose vertices are contained in $V(St(A))$. The 2-cells $F(St(A))$ of $St(A)$are all 2-cells of A along with all 2-cells F, such that all vertices of F belong to $V(St(A))$. If A is an arbitrary subset of $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(R)$ then let $\hat{A}$ be the smallest subcomplex of $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(R)$ containing A and define $St(A)$ to be $St(\hat{A})$.

Lemma 2.3 *(1) Suppose A and B are subcomplexes of $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(R)$ and $St(A) \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Then $A \cap St(B) \neq \emptyset$.*

(2) Suppose A is a subcomplex of $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(R)$ and B is an arbitrary subset of $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(R)$ and $St(B) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ then $St^{L+1}(A) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ where L is the length of the longest relation in R.

Proof: Case (1). If $St(A) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ then there is a vertex $v \in St(A) \cap B$. If $v \in A$ then we are finished. Otherwise, there is a vertex $w \in A$ and an edge from v to w. Then $w \in A \cap St(B)$

Case (2). Let v be a vertex in $St(B) \cap A = St(\hat{B}) \cap A$. If $v \in B$ we are finished. Otherwise, $v \in \hat{B}$ or v is adjacent to a vertex $w \in \hat{B}$. If $v \in \hat{B}$ then there is an edge e containing a point $b \in B$ and v is a vertex of e, or there is a 2-cell F containing a point $b \in B$ and v is a vertex of F. In either case, $b \in St^L(v)$, so $b \in B \cap St^L(A)$. If v is adjacent to a vertex $w \in \hat{B}$ then as above, there is $b \in B \cap St^L(w) \subset B \cap St^{L+1}(A)$. $\square$

The following technical lemma has a somewhat standard proof.

Lemma 2.4 *Suppose A is a finitely generated subgroup of the finitely presented group G. Then A is simply connected at ∞ in G if and only if:*

(†) *For $\langle \mathcal{S}; R\rangle$ an arbitrary finite presentation for G, $N \geq 0$ an integer and C a compact subset of $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(R)$, there is a compact set $D(C,N) \subset \Gamma$ such that if α is an edge path loop in $\Gamma - D$ and each vertex of α is within N of some vertex of $A(\subset \Gamma)$ then α is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma - C$.*

Proof: If condition (†) holds with $N = 0$ then clearly A is simply connected at ∞. For the converse assume A is simply connected at ∞ and $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} : T\rangle$ is a presentation for G satisfying the conditions of Definition 4. Define $\Gamma_1 \coloneqq \Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{A}\cup\mathcal{B})}(T)$ and $\Gamma_2 \coloneqq \Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(R)$. Recall that the vertices of Γ_1 and of Γ_2 are both the elements of G. In order to avoid confusion if v is a vertex of Γ_1 we denote by v' the corresponding vertex of Γ_2. We define proper maps respecting the action of G, $f_1 : \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma_2$ and $f_2 : \Gamma_2 \to \Gamma_1$ such that for each vertex $g \in G$ of Γ_1, $f_1(g) = g'$ and $f_2(g') = g$. If e is an edge of Γ_1 with initial vertex v, terminal vertex w and label $s \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$, then choose an edge path τ_s in Γ_2 from $v' \coloneqq f_1(v)$ to $w' \coloneqq f_1(w)$. Define $f_1(e)$ to be τ_s. If $g \in G$ define f_1 on ge to be $g\tau_s$. Similarly define f_2 from the 1-skeleton of Γ_2 to the 1-skeleton of Γ_1. Let M_1 be the length of the longest path τ_s for $s \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ and M_2 be the length of the longest path $\tau_{s'}$ for $s' \in \mathcal{S}$. Note that if e is an edge of Γ_1, with initial vertex v and terminal vertex w, then $f_2 f_1(e)$ is an edge path of length $\leq M_1 M_2$ from v to w, and similarly if e is an edge of Γ_2.

In particular, if x is a point of an edge of Γ_1 then $f_2 f_1(x) \in St^{M_1 M_2}(x)$. Similarly if x belongs to an edge of Γ_2.

If F is a 2-cell of Γ_1 then the boundary of F is an edge path β_F with edge labels the same as an element of T. Then $f_1(\beta_F)$ is an edge path loop in Γ_2. Choose $P_1 > 0$ so that if F is any 2-cell of Γ_1 then the edge path loop $f_1(\beta_F)$ is homotopically trivial in $St^{P_1}(v')$ for any vertex v' of $f_1(\beta_F)$. The map f_1 is defined so that $f_1|_F$ (the restriction of f_1 to any 2-cell F) realizes this homotopy and respects the action of G on Γ_1 and Γ_2. Similarly map the 2-cells of Γ_2 to Γ_1 and choose P_2 for f_2. Let L be the length of the longest relator of $T \cup R$.

If x is a point of a 2-cell F of Γ_1 and v is a vertex of F, then $f_1(x) \in St^{P_1}(f_1(v)) = St^{P_1}(v')$. This means there is an edge path τ in Γ_2 of length $\leq P_1$ from v' to a vertex w' and w' belongs to an edge b or 2-cell B containing $f_1(x)$.

If w' belongs to an edge b then $f_2(f_1)(x)$ belongs to $f_2((\tau, b))$ an edge path of length $\leq M_2(P_1 + 1)$ that begins at v. In this case $f_2(f_1(x)) \in St^{M_2(P_1+1)}(x)$.

Otherwise, w' belongs to a 2-cell B containing $f_1(x)$ and $f_2 f_1(x)$ belongs

to $St^{P_2}(f_2(w')) = St^{P_2}(w)$. Then $f_2(\tau)$ is an edge path of length $\leq P_1M_2$ from v to w, and $f_2f_1(x) \in St^{P_1M_1+P_2}(v)$. As $x \in St^L(v)$, we have $f_2f_1(x) \in St^{P_1M_1+P_2+L}(x)$. Combining we have:

Claim 1. There is an integer M such that if x is a point of Λ_1 (respectively Λ_2) then $f_2(f_1(x)) \in St^M(x)$ (respectively $f_1f_2(x) \in St^M(x)$).

Let $\Gamma_3 := \Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}$ be the corresponding subgraph of Γ_1. Then for any compact set C in Γ_1 there is a compact set D in Γ_1 so that any edge path loop in $\Gamma_3 - D$, is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma_1 - C$. Let $\Gamma_4 = f_1(\Gamma_3)$. Then A is a subset of the vertices of Γ_4 and we call these vertices the pseudo vertices of Γ_4. For each edge e of Γ_3, $f_1(e)$ is an edge path of Γ_4 (connecting two pseudo vertices) that we call a pseudo edge of Γ_4.

Claim 2. Given a compact set C in Γ_2 there is a compact set $D_1(C)$ in Γ_2 such that any pseudo edge path loop β in $\Gamma_4 - D_1$ is homomtopically trivial in $\Gamma_2 - C$.

Proof: Assume C is a compact subcomplex of Γ_2. Then $St^{M+L}(f_2(C))$ is a compact subcomplex of Γ_1. (See Lemma 2.3 for the definition of L). As Γ_1 satisfies Definition 4, there is a compact subcomplex E of Γ_1 such that any edge path loop in $\Gamma_3 - E$ is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma_1 - St^{M+L}(f_2(C))$.

Choose D_1 a compact subcomplex of Γ_2 such that if $w \in G$ is a vertex of E then $f_2(w) := w' \in D_1$. If β' is a pseudo edge path loop in $\Gamma_4 - D_1$, let β be an edge path loop in Γ_3 such that $f_1(\beta) = \beta'$. Note that no vertex of β belongs to E and so β avoids E. Then there is a homotopy H that kills β in $\Gamma_1 - St^{M+L}(f_2(C))$ and f_1H kills β' in Γ_2. It remains to show that the image of f_1H avoids C. If $im(f_1H) \cap C \neq \emptyset$, then $im(f_2f_1H) \cap f_2(C) \neq \emptyset$. By Claim 1, $im(f_2f_1H) \subset St^M(im(H))$ and so $St^M(im(H)) \cap f_2(C) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.3 (1) , $St(im(H)) \cap St^{M-1}(f_2(C)) \neq \emptyset$ and by Lemma 2.3 (2) $im(H) \cap St^{M+L}(f_2(C)) \neq \emptyset$. But $im(H) \cap St^{M+L}(f_2(C)) = \emptyset$. $\square$

Now we complete the proof of Lemma 2.4. Recall, $N \geq 0$ is an arbitrary fixed integer. Choose N_1 such that if two pseudo vertices of Γ_4 are within $2N + 1$ of one another in Γ_2 then there is a pseudo edge path of Γ_2-length $\leq N_1$ connecting them. Let C be compact in Γ_2. Choose N_2 so that if τ is an edge path loop in Γ_2 of length $\leq N_1 + 2N + 1$, then τ is homotopically trivial in $St^{N_2}(w')$ for any vertex w' of τ. Now suppose α is an edge path loop of $\Gamma_2 - St^{N_2}(D_1(C))$ and each vertex of α is within N of A (the pseudo

vertices of Γ_4). By the definition of N_2, α is homotopic to a pseudo edge path α' in $\Gamma_4 - D_1$ by a homotopy in $\Gamma_2 - D_1$. Since α' is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma_2 - C$, α is as well. $\square$

Remark 1. Lemma 2.4 implies the following. Suppose the finitely generated group A is simply connected at ∞ in the finitely presented group G, $(\mathcal{S}, R)$ is a finite presentation for G, and $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ are vertices of $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(R)$. Then for any compact $C \subset \Gamma$ and integer $N \geq 0$ there is a compact set $D(C, N, \{v_0, \ldots, v_n\})$ such that any loop in $\Gamma - D$, each of whose vertices are within N of $v_i A$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma - C$. What is not guaranteed is a compact set $D(C, N)$ satisfying the following: For all $v \in G$ and any edge path loop α in $\Gamma - D$ with each vertex of α within N of vA, the loop α is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma - C$.

3 Semistability

For the remainder of the paper, we assume that G is a finitely presented group, H is an infinite finitely generated subgroup of infinite index in G and (as in the statement of Theorem 1.9) H is subcommensurated in G.

$$H = Q_0 \prec Q_1 \prec \cdots \prec Q_k \prec Q_{k+1} = G.$$

Let $\mathcal{H} \coloneqq \{h_1, \ldots, h_n\}$ be a finite generating set for H, and suppose the group G has generating set $\mathcal{G} \coloneqq \{h_1, \ldots, h_n, s_1, \ldots, s_m\}$. Let $\mathcal{S} \coloneqq \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\}$.

The following is Lemma 3.1 of [2].

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose Q and B are subgroups of the group G and $Q \prec G$, then $Q \cap B \prec B$.*

Lemma 3.2 *If H is a subgroup of A and A is a subgroup of G ($H < A < G$), then*

$$H = Q_0 \prec Q_1 \cap A \prec \cdots \prec Q_k \cap A \prec A.$$

Proof: Recall $Q_{k+1} \coloneqq G$. For $i = 1, \ldots, k+1$, define $B_i \coloneqq A \cap Q_i$. As $Q_{i-1} \prec Q_i$ and $B_i < Q_i$, Lemma 3.1 implies that $Q_{i-1} \cap B_i \prec B_i$. Equivalently, $Q_{i-1} \cap A \prec Q_i \cap A$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3 *Suppose $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k+1\}$, $g \in Q_i$ and Y is a subgroup of Q_{i-1}, then $g^{-1}Q_{i-1}g \cap Y$ has finite index in Y and so $gYg^{-1} \cap Q_{i-1}$ has finite index in gYg^{-1}. Note that if Y is finitely generated, then $g^{-1}Q_{i-1}g \cap Y$ and $Q_{i-1} \cap gYg^{-1}$ are as well.*

Proof: The group $g^{-1}Q_{i-1}g \cap Q_{i-1}$ has finite index in Q_{i-1}. So, the group $g^{-1}Q_{i-1}g \cap Q_{i-1} \cap Y = g^{-1}Q_{i-1}g \cap Y$ has finite index in Y. Conjugating, we have the group $Q_{i-1} \cap gYg^{-1}$ has finite index in gYg^{-1}. $\square$

For $s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}$ let $\mathcal{A}_s$ be a finite generating set for $Q_k \cap s^{-1}Hs$ and define

$$\mathcal{A} \coloneqq \mathcal{H} \cup_{s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}} \mathcal{A}_s.$$

Then $A \coloneqq \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$ is a finitely generated subgroup of Q_k.

The following two lemmas imply the semistability part of Theorem 1.9.

Lemma 3.4 *If H has finite index in A, then H is commensurated in G (and so G is 1-ended and semistable at infinity by Theorem 1.8).*

Lemma 3.5 *If H has infinite index in A, then H is subcommensurated in A:*

$$H = Q_0 \prec Q_1 \cap A \prec \cdots \prec Q_k \cap A = A$$

and both A and G are 1-ended and semistable at infinity.

Proof: (of Lemma 3.4) It suffices to show that for $s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}$, $s^{-1}Hs \cap H$ has finite index in both H and $s^{-1}Hs$. Since H has finite index in A, and $\langle \mathcal{A}_s \rangle \coloneqq Q_k \cap s^{-1}Hs < A$, the group $H \cap (Q_k \cap s^{-1}Hs) \coloneqq H \cap s^{-1}Hs$ has finite index in $Q_k \cap s^{-1}Hs$. By Lemma 3.3 (with $Y = H$), the group $Q_k \cap s^{-1}Hs$ has finite index in $s^{-1}Hs$ and so $H \cap s^{-1}Hs$ has finite index in $s^{-1}Hs$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}$. Conjugating we have $sHs^{-1} \cap H$ has finite index in H for all $s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}$. Combining we have $s^{-1}Hs \cap H$ has finite index in both H and $s^{-1}Hs$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}$. $\square$

Proof: (of Lemma 3.5) Now suppose H has infinite index in A. The subcommensurated sequence $H = Q_0 \prec Q_1 \prec \cdots \prec Q_k \prec G$ has length $k+1$. Theorem 1.8 shows that if $k = 0$, then G is 1-ended and semistable at ∞. Inductively, we assume that if G' is finitely generated and there is a subcommensurated sequence $H' = Q'_0 \prec Q'_1 \prec \cdots \prec Q'_{k-1} \prec G'$ of length k such that H' is finitely generated and has infinite index in G', then G' is 1-ended and semistable at ∞.

In our case, H has infinite index in A, and the length k subcommensurated series $H = Q_0 \prec Q_1 \cap A \prec \cdots \prec Q_{k-1} \cap A \prec A$ implies that A is 1-ended and semistable at ∞. Hence we may choose a finite set P of $\mathcal{A}$-relations so that

$$\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(P) \text{ is 1-ended and semistable at } \infty.$$

If $s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_s$, then there is a G-relation of the form $a = s^{-1}a_s s$ for some $\mathcal{H}$-word a_s. Let R be the (finite) collection of all such relations. Define

$$\tilde{\Gamma} \coloneqq \Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{A}\cup\mathcal{S})}(P \cup R).$$

We simultaneous show $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ by showing all proper edge path rays in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ are properly homotopic (completing the proof of the semistability part of Theorem 1.9).

Claim 3. Let K be the length of the longest R-relation. If $v \in G$ (so v is a vertex of $\tilde{\Gamma}$), $s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}$ and r is a $\mathcal{A}_s$-proper ray at v, then r is properly homotopic $rel\{v\}$ to a ray of the form $(s^{-1}, h'_1, h'_2, \ldots)$ where $h'_i \in \mathcal{H}$. Furthermore, this proper homotopy has image in $St^K(im(r))$.

Proof: Suppose $r = (a_1, a_2, \ldots)$ with $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_s$. Then, r is properly homotopic $rel\{v\}$ to $(s^{-1}, (a_1)_s, s, s,^{-1} (a_2)_s^{-1}, s, \ldots)$ simply by using the 2-cells for the R-relation $a_i = s^{-1}(a_i)_s s$. Then r is properly homotopic $rel\{v\}$ to $(s^{-1}, (a_1)_s, (a_2)_s, \ldots)$ by a proper homotopy in $St^K(im(r))$. $\square$

If $v \in G$ and C_v is a compact subcomplex of $v\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(P) \subset \tilde{\Gamma}$ then there is a compact subcomplex D_v of $v\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(P)$ such that if r and s are edge path rays at $w \in v\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(P) - D_v$, then, r and s are properly homotopic $rel\{v\}$ by a proper homotopy in $v\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})} - C_v$. Hence, if C is a compact subcomplex of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and we let $C_v = C \cap v\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(P)$ (for the finite set of vertices v such $C \cap v\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(P) \neq \emptyset$) and let $D = \cup D_v$, then any two $\mathcal{A}$-rays r and s at $w \in v\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(P) - D$ are properly homotopic $rel\{w\}$ in $\tilde{\Gamma} - C$.

By Lemma 2 of [12] (an elementary graph theory result), for each $v \in G$, there are $\mathcal{H}$-rays r_v at v such that for any compact set $C \subset \tilde{\Gamma}$, only finitely many v are such that r_v intersects C. Also, for each $s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}$, there is an $\mathcal{A}_s$-ray $r_{(s,v)}$ at v such that for any compact set $C \subset \tilde{\Gamma}$, only finitely many v are such that $r_{(s,v)}$ intersects C.

Choose a sequence of compact subcomplexes $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i = \tilde{\Gamma}$
2. $St^K(C_i)$ (see Claim 3) is contained in the interior of C_{i+1}, and the finite set of vertices v such that r_v or $r_{(s,v)}$ ($s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}$) intersects C_i, is a subset of C_{i+1}.

3. If r and s are $\mathcal{A}$-rays in $\tilde{\Gamma} - C_i$ both based at a vertex v, then r and s are properly homotopic $rel\{v\}$ by a proper homotopy in $v\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(P) - C_{i-1}$. (See Theorem 2.1 part (4).)

For convenience define $C_i = \emptyset$ for $i < 1$ and observe that conditions (1), (2), and (3) (see part (5) of Theorem 2.1) remain valid for all C_i. The next lemma implies Lemma 3.5 and concludes the proof of the semistability part of Theorem 1.9.

Lemma 3.6 *If v is a vertex of $\tilde{\Gamma}$, and $t = (e_1, e_2, \ldots)$ is an arbitrary ray at v, then t is properly homotopic to r_v, $rel\{v\}$.*

Proof: Assume that t has consecutive vertices $v = v_0, v_1, \ldots$. By construction, if $v_j \in C_i - C_{i-1}$, then r_{v_j} avoids C_{i-1}. Assume j is the largest integer such that C_j avoids e_i. Then $r_{v_{i-1}}$ and r_{v_i} avoid C_{j-1}. We will show $r_{v_{i-1}}$ is properly homotopic to $e_i * r_{v_i}$ $rel\{v_{i-1}\}$ by a proper homotopy H_i with image avoiding C_{j-3}.

If $e_i \in \mathcal{A}^{\pm 1}$, this is clear by condition (3) with H_i avoiding C_{j-2}. If $e_i \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}$, then $r_{v_{i-1}}$ and $r_{(e_i, v_{i-1})}$ are $\mathcal{A}$-rays avoiding C_{j-1} and so by (3) are properly homotopic $rel\{v_{i-1}\}$ by a homotopy avoiding C_{j-2}. By Claim 3 and condition (2), $r_{(e_i, v_{i-1})}$ is properly homotopic $rel\{v_{i-1}\}$ to a ray $(e_i, h'_1, h'_2, \ldots)$ where $h'_i \in \mathcal{H}^{\pm 1}$ and the homotopy avoids C_{j-2}. By condition (3), $(h'_1, h'_2, \ldots)$ is properly homotopic $rel\{v_i\}$ to r_{v_i} by a proper homotopy that avoids C_{j-3}. Patch these three proper homotopies together to obtain H_i. (See Figure 1.)



Figure 1

Let H be the homotopy $rel\{v\}$ of t to r_v, obtained by patching together the homotopies H_i. We need to check that H is proper. Let $C \subset \tilde{\Gamma}$ be

compact. Choose an index j such that $C \subseteq C_j$. Since t is a proper edge path to infinity, choose an index N such that all edges after the N^{th}-edge of t avoid C_{j+3}. Then for all $i > N$, H_i avoids C_j, so H is proper. $\square$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.5 and the first part of Theorem 1.9. $\square$

4 Simple Connectivity at ∞

It is straightforward to check that the proof of the simply connectivity at ∞ part of Theorem 1.8 given in [1] extends to the finitely generated case (as follows): If $\langle \mathcal{S}; R \rangle$ is a finite presentation of the group G then $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{S})}(R)$ is simply connected. The only time the simple connectivity of Γ is used in the proof of Theorem 1.8 is via the fact:

$(*)$ If C is a compact subset of Γ and N is a fixed positive integer, then there is an integer $M(N, C)$ such that any edge path loop α of length $\leq N$ in $\Gamma - St^M(C)$ is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma - C$.

Suppose G is a finitely generated subgroup of a finitely presented group W and W has presentation $\langle \mathcal{W}; R \rangle$ where $\mathcal{W}$ contains a set of generators $\mathcal{G}$ for G. When proving a finitely generated version of the simply connected at infinity part of Theorem 1.8, all work is done in the simply connected space $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R)$, and one only needs $(*)$ for edge path loops α with edge labels in $\mathcal{G}^{\pm 1}$. Hence, the proof of the simply connected at ∞ part of Theorem 1.8 directly extends to the stronger finitely generated version:

Theorem 4.1 *(G. Conner, M. Mihalik Improved) Suppose H is a 1-ended, finitely presented infinite subgroup of infinite index in the finitely generated group G, and H is commensurated in G. Then G is 1-ended and simply connected at ∞.*

In order to finish the proof of our main theorem it remains to prove:

Theorem 4.2 *Suppose that H is a 1-ended, finitely presented, subcommensurated subgroup of infinite index in the finitely generated group G:*

$$H = Q_0 \prec Q_1 \prec \cdots \prec Q_k \prec Q_{k+1} = G$$

Then G is simply connected at infinity.

Proof: We say H is $(k+1)$-subcommensurated in G. When $k = 0$, Theorem 4.1 implies that G is simply connected at ∞. Assume (inductively) the statement of Theorem 4.2 is valid when H is $(n+1)$-subcommensurated for $n < k$. Let

$$\mathcal{H} \coloneqq \{h_1, \ldots, h_n\} \text{ generate } H$$

$$\mathcal{G} \coloneqq \{h_1, \ldots, h_n, s_1, \ldots, s_m\} \text{ generate } G \text{ and}$$

$$\text{let } \mathcal{S} = \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\}.$$

For p an element of a group P with generating set $\mathcal{P}$, let $|g|_{\mathcal{P}}$ be the smallest integer ℓ such that g is a product of ℓ elements in $\mathcal{P}^{\pm 1}$. We use the following notation : $|g| \coloneqq |g|_{\mathcal{G}}$ for all $g \in G$.

For each $s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm}$ let $\mathcal{A}_s$ be a finite generating set for $sHs^{-1} \cap Q_k$ (see Lemma 3.3 with $Y = H$) and let $\mathcal{A}'_s \coloneqq s^{-1}\mathcal{A}_s s \subset H$. Choose an integer L_1 such that

$$L_1 \geq |a|_{\mathcal{H}} \text{ for all } a \in (\cup_{s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}} \mathcal{A}'_s).$$

We have:

$$A_s \coloneqq \langle \mathcal{A}_s \rangle < Q_k \text{ has finite index in } sHs^{-1} \text{ and}$$

$$A'_s \coloneqq \langle \mathcal{A}'_s \rangle = s^{-1}A_s s < H \text{ has finite index in } H.$$

As in §3 define

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \coloneqq \cup_{s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}} \mathcal{A}_s, \ \mathcal{A} \coloneqq \mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{A}_1 \text{ and } A \coloneqq \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle < Q_k.$$

For each $s \in \mathcal{H}^{\pm 1}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_s$ there is an $\mathcal{H}$-word $w(s,a)$ of length $\leq L_1$, such that $s^{-1}asw^{-1}(a,s)$ is a $(A, \mathcal{A})$-relator, which we denote by $r(a,s)$. Let

$$R_1 = \{r(a,s) : s \in \mathcal{H}^{\pm 1}, a \in \mathcal{A}_s\}.$$

For each $g \in G$ let $\mathcal{B}_g$ be a finite generating set for the group $gAg^{-1} \cap Q_k$. (See Lemma 3.3 with $Y = A$.) Let $\mathcal{B}'_g \coloneqq g^{-1}\mathcal{B}_g g \subset A \cap g^{-1}Q_k g$. If $g \in A$ then $gAg^{-1} = A$ and so we define $\mathcal{B}_g \coloneqq \mathcal{A} \coloneqq \mathcal{B}'_g$.

Then

$$B_g \coloneqq \langle \mathcal{B}_g \rangle = gAg^{-1} \cap Q_k \text{ has finite index in } gAg^{-1} \text{ and}$$

$$B'_g \coloneqq \langle \mathcal{B}'_g \rangle = g^{-1}B_g g = A \cap g^{-1}Q_k g \text{ has finite index in } A.$$

For each $g \in G$, let N_g be an integer so that in the Cayley graph $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{G})}$, each vertex of A is within N_g of a vertex of B'_g. Let

$$\mathcal{B}_j = \mathcal{A} \cup (\cup_{\{g \in G : |g| \leq j\}} \mathcal{B}_g) \subset Q_k \text{ and } N_j \coloneqq max\{N_g : g \in G \text{ and } |g| \leq j\}.$$

Lemma 4.3 *Suppose $g \in G$ and $y \in gA$. Then in $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{G})}$, y is within $N_g + |g|$ of a point of B_g.*

Proof: Let $y = ga$ for some $a \in A$. There is $b' \in B'_g \coloneqq g^{-1}Q_k g \cap A$ within N_g of a. Then $y' \coloneqq gb'$ is within N_g of $y = ga$. As $y'g^{-1} = gb'g^{-1} \in Q_k \cap gAg^{-1} = B_g$, y' is within $|g|$ of B_g and so y is within $N_g + |g|$ of B_g. $\square$

If H has finite index in A, then by Lemma 3.4, H is commensurated in G and so G is simply connected at infinity by Theorem 4.1. So, we may assume that H has infinite index in A. Our induction hypothesis, Lemma 3.2 and the results of §3 imply:

Lemma 4.4 *The finitely generated subgroups A and B_j of Q_k, are 1-ended, semistable at ∞ and simply connected at ∞ for all $j \geq 1$.*

Next assume that G is a subgroup of a finitely presented (over) group W. Then for all $j \geq 1$, A and B_j are simply connected at ∞ in W. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a finite generating set for W containing $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{G}$, and let $\langle \mathcal{W}; R \rangle$ be a finite presentation for W. Assume that R contains a set R' of $\mathcal{A}$-relations so that $\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(R')$ is semistable at ∞. We also assume that R' contains the set of conjugation relations R_1. If v is a G-vertex of $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R)$, let $v\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(R')$ be the copy of $\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(R')$ at v. To ease notation, if p is a $\mathcal{G}^{\pm 1}$-word and $\bar{p}$ is the corresponding element of G, define $B_p \coloneqq B_{\bar{p}}$ and $B'_p \coloneqq B'_{\bar{p}}$.

As a direct consequence of Lemma 4.3 we have:

Lemma 4.5 *Suppose v is a G-vertex of $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R)$ and $(e_1, \ldots, e_i)$ labels a $\mathcal{G}$-edge path with consecutive vertices $v \coloneqq v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_i$. If $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, i\}$ and w is a vertex of the Cayley graph $v_j\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}$ then w is within $j + N_j$ of a vertex of $v\Gamma_{(B_j,\mathcal{B}_j)}$.*

Note that Theorem 4.2 does not follow directly from Lemma 2.4 and the fact that B_ℓ is simply connected at ∞ in W for all ℓ, but Theorem 4.2 does follow from the next lemma:

Lemma 4.6 *Given any compact subcomplex C of $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R)$ there is a compact subcomplex D of $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R)$ such that any $\mathcal{G}$-loop α at a G-vertex of $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - D$ is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - C$.*

Proof: If v is a vertex of $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R)$ and $v\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(R') \cap C = \emptyset$, then by part 5) of Theorem 2.1, any two A-rays at v are properly homotopic relative to v by a proper homotopy in $v\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(R')$ (and so the homotopy avoids C). There are only finitely many $v\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(R')$ that intersect C. Since $\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(R')$ is semistable at ∞ there is a compact subcomplex D_1 of $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R)$ such that any two $\mathcal{A}$-edge path rays based at a G-vertex v and with image in $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - D_1$, are properly homotopic relative to v by a homotopy in $v\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(R') - C$. There are only finitely many Cayley graphs of the form $v\Gamma_{(H,\mathcal{H})}$ or $v\Gamma_{(A_s,\mathcal{A}_s)}$ (for $v \in G$ and $s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}$) that intersect D_1. Choose a finite subcomplex D of $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R)$ such that D contains the bounded components of both $v\Gamma_{(A_s,\mathcal{A}_s)} - St^{L_1}(D_1)$ and $v\Gamma_{(H,\mathcal{H})} - D_1$, for all $v\Gamma_{(A_s,\mathcal{A}_s)}$ and $v\Gamma_{(H,\mathcal{H})}$ that intersect D_1. (Recall, if $s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_s$, then there is a $\mathcal{H}$-word $w(a,s)$ of length $\leq L_1$, such that $s^{-1}asw(a,s)^{-1} \in R_1$.)



Figure 2

$(*)$ So, if e is an edge of $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - D$ with initial vertex $v \in G$, terminal vertex w and label $s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}$, then there is a proper A_s-ray q_v at v avoiding $St^{L_1}(D_1)$ and hence a $\mathcal{H}$-ray s_w at w avoiding D_1, such that q_w and (e, s_v) are homotopic relative to v by a homotopy (using only 2-cells arising from R_1-conjugation relations) in $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - C$ (see Figure 2) and;

$(**)$ if v is a G-vertex of $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - D$ then there is a $\mathcal{H}$-ray at v in $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - D_1$.

Assume α is a $\mathcal{G}$-loop based at the G-vertex v in $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - D$. We wish to show that α is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma_{W,\mathcal{W})} - C$. Since G is 1-ended, we may assume that $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{G})} - D$ is connected and so there is an edge path in $\Gamma_{(G,\mathcal{G})} - D$ from v to a vertex of H. Hence we assume, without loss, that $v \in H$. Let ℓ be the length of α. By Lemma 4.4, B_ℓ is simply connected at ∞ and Lemma 2.4 implies there is a compact subcomplex $E(C, \ell + N_\ell)$ of $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R)$ with the following property: If β is an edge path loop with image in $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - E$ and each vertex of β is within $\ell + N_\ell$ of B_ℓ then β is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - C$.

It is enough to show that α is homotopic to such a β in $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - C$ (and

this is where the semistability of A comes in). Let s_v be a $\mathcal{H}$-proper edge path ray at v in $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - D_1$ (see $(*,*)$). If all edges of α are $\mathcal{H}$-edges, then by the definition of D_1, the rays s_{v_0} and (α, s_{v_0}) are properly homotopic relative to v, by $\hat{H}$ a proper homotopy with image in $v\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(R') - C$. Otherwise, write α as $(\tau_0, e_0, \tau_1, e_1, \ldots, \tau_j, e_j, \tau_{j+1})$ where τ_i is a (possibly trivial) $\mathcal{H}$-path and e_i is a $\mathcal{S}$-edge. Let the initial vertex of e_i be v_i' and the terminal vertex of e_i be v_i. (See Figure 3.)


s_{v_0} q_0 s_{v_1} q_1 s_{v_2} s_{v_i} q_i $s_{v_{i+1}}$ s_{v_j} q_j $s_{v_{j+1}}$ s_{v_0}
$\hat{H}_1$ $\hat{H}_2$ $\hat{H}_i$ $\hat{H}_j$ $\hat{H}_{j+1}$
v_0 τ_0 v_0' e_0 v_1 τ_1 v_1' e_1 v_2 v_i τ_i v_i' e_i v_{i+1} v_j τ_j v_j' e_j v_{j+1} τ_{j+1} v_0

Figure 3

If e_i is labeled by $s \in \mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}$ then let q_i be a proper $\mathcal{A}_s$-ray at v_i', avoiding $St^{L_1}(D_1)$. For each edge a of q_i, there is an 2-cell with boundary label $(s^{-1}, a, s, w^{-1}(a,s))$ where $w(a,s)$ is a $\mathcal{H}$-word of length $\leq L_1$ (see the definition of R_1). So if q_i is labeled $(a_1, a_2, \ldots)$ then s_{v_i}, the $\mathcal{H}$-ray at v_i, with labeling $(w(a_1,s), w(a_2,s), \ldots)$ is such that q_i is properly homotopic to (e_i, s_{v_i}) relative to v_i' by a homotopy (only using R_1-cells) with image in $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - C$. The ray s_{v_i} has image in $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - D_1$ and so by the semistability of $\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(R')$ we have for $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, j\}$, q_i is properly homotopic to (τ_i^{-1}, s_{v_i}) relative to v_i' by a proper homotopy $\hat{H}_i$ in $v_i'\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})} - C$. Finally, define $\hat{H}_{j+1}$ to be a proper homotopy in $v_0\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})} - C$ of s_{v_0} to $(\tau_{j+1}^{-1}, s_{v_{j+1}})$.

Assume that v_i' is the $j(i)^{th}$ vertex of α. By Lemma 4.5, every vertex of $v_i'\Gamma_{(A,\mathcal{A})}(R')$ is within $N_{j(i)} + j(i) (\leq N_\ell + \ell)$ of $B_{j(i)} \subset B_\ell$. Hence for $i \in \{0, \ldots, j\}$, each vertex of (the image of) $\hat{H}_i$ is within $N_\ell + \ell$ of a vertex of B_ℓ.

Combining the homotopies $\hat{H}_0, \ldots, \hat{H}_{j+1}$ along with the homotopies of q_i

to $(e_i, s_{v_{i+1}})$ we have a proper cellular homotopy $\hat{H}$ (relative to v) of s_{v_0} to (α, s_{v_0}) with image in $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - C$, and each vertex of the image of the homotopy $\hat{H}$ is within $N_\ell + \ell$ of a vertex of B_ℓ.

$$\hat{H} : [0,1] \times [0,\infty) \to \Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - C$$

such that $\hat{H}|_{[0,1]\times\{0\}}$ is α, $\hat{H}|_{\{0\}\times[0,\infty)}$ and $\hat{H}|_{\{1\}\times[0,\infty)}$ both agree with s_{v_0}, and each vertex of the image of $\hat{H}$ is within $N_\ell + \ell$ of B_ℓ. Choose N such that $\hat{H}^{-1}(E) \subset [0,1]\times[0,N]$. The loop $\hat{H}|_{[0,1]\times\{N+1\}}$ provides a $\mathcal{G}$-loop β such that α is homotopic to β in $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - C$, and each vertex of β is within $N_\ell + \ell$ of B_ℓ. By the definition of E, the loop β (and hence α) is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma_{(W,\mathcal{W})}(R) - C$. $\square$

References

[1] G. Conner and M. L. Mihalik, *Commensurated Subgroups, Semistability and Simple Connectivity at Infinity*, to appear Algebraic and Geometric Topology.

[2] _____, *Commensurated Subgroups and Ends of Groups*, J. Group Theory **16** (2013) no. 1, 107-139.

[3] F. E. A. Johnson, *Manifolds of Homotopy Type $K(\pi, 1)I$*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **70** (1971) 387-393.

[4] _____, *First end invariants of an exact sequence*, Matematika **22** (1975) 60-70.

[5] R. Geoghegan, *Topological Methods in Group Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **243**, (2008) Springer.

[6] R. Geoghegan and M. L. Mihalik, *Free Abelian Cohomology of Groups and Ends of Universal Covers*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **36**, (1985) 123-137.

[7] G. Higman, *Subgroups of finitely presented groups*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A **262** (1961) 455-475.

[8] B. Jackson, *End Invariants of Group Extensions*, Topology **21** (1982) 71-81.

[9] R. Lee and F. Raymond, *Manifolds covered by Euclidean space*, Topology **14** (1975) 49-57.

[10] V. M. Lew, *The Semistability at Infinity for Multiple Extension Groups*, Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University, 1993.

[11] M. L. Mihalik, *Semistability at the End of a Group Extension*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **277** (1983) 307-321.

[12] _____, *Ends of Double Extension Groups*, Topology **25** (1986) 45-53.

[13] _____, *Semistability at Infinity for Finitely Generated Groups and Solvable Groups*, Topology Appl. **24** (1986) 259-269.

[14] _____, *Semistability at infinity, simple connectivity at infinity and normal subgroups* Topology Appl. **72** (1996) 273-281.

[15] J. Profio, *Using Subnormality to show the Simple Connectivity at Infinity for a Finitely Presented Group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **320**, No. 1 (1990) 281-292.

[16] Y. Shalom and G. A. Willis, *Commensurated subgroups of arithmetic groups, totally disconnected groups and adelic rigidity*, arXiv:0911.1966v1 [Math. GR] 10 Nov 2009.

[17] J. Stallings, *On the piecewise-linear structure of Euclidean space*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **58** (1962) 481488.

Michael Mihalik, Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville TN, 37240 USA.

email: michael.l.mihalik@vanderbilt.edu